App. F. IX-4

1924. Preserve

Previous References

(LB)

File No. 64-24

Serial Nos. 12, 17, 41
51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56
59

Later References
LB27-22 (38, 79, 67, 74)
LB 65-33 54-1,2,3

Preserve

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 12, 17, 41, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 59

Part B for March 1928

SUBJECT

Revised Rules for regulating Cattle Shows in the State

To Hie Rev Comm Regs of Dairies
Dy Comm, D.S. of Police
Forestry & Agriculture
L. 5568-86 & 14 3 28
Prescribes revised rules regulating
Cattle shows

8/57

1/8

APP. F. III–21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

79

(528)

LR File No. 64 of 1924

APP.

Serial No.

12

From Mr. D.J. Cowen Bangalore Dt.

Forwards his letter from the President, Bangalore Dt. Bd. (No. C.389/24-25 of the 10/7/25) requesting sanction for the payment of Rs. 100/- for the cattle show at Nagadi during the car festival of Sri Somesvara swami.

The information required for the disposal of the similar question which is dealt with in the linked file is also necessary for the disposal of this letter also. Mr. the orders on that file be awaited.

12/3 JWK 14/3/25 Draft engiry on the lines contained in the Secy's note of the Cattle Show file at Doddaballapur Co. Sub Treasury

19.3.25

Secy

Secy

Secy

Serial No.

received from the Amildar, Nagar Taluk furnishing information on the points.

4 It is reported that the Cattle Show is being sanctioned and conducted out of the funds of the District Board, that the fees are being collected on the Cattle, that the extra income is being credited to temple funds and without the Show being financed from the fees collected and that no subscription is being raised.

5 In accordance with G.O No 7007-H/L.B 189-09-3 of the 22d Feb 1911, the entire cost of the Cattle Show shall in the first instance be met from District funds to which the subscriptions, for the Cattle admitted to the Show to Show should be credited.

6 In the present case, the entire cost of the Show has been paid from District funds, while the income from the Show has been credited to the temple funds and of being credited to District funds. Nothing has been contributed temple funds towards the cost of the

7 Thus it is seen that the Conducting

Serial No

935
31.7.

conditions laid down in the order of the 22nd Feb (9.11. have not been complied with

½ half the excess cost viz Rs 50/- payable from the temple funds in accordance with the said order, may, as reported by the Assistant, be recovered from the said funds. Sheet ½ Dept Funds. Submitted for orders.

Sh 30.7.25 JMK 30/7/25 Hon'ble Member WB 30/7/

Ans for payment from temple funds Dept sanction is necessary.

If I agree the new rules of 1911 are obsolete — the R.C. is now the Head of the Vet Dept. Cattle shows have to be run conjointly by Dist. Boards & Mun Depts. Mean set Bombay & Madras rules & draft revised rules prepared by Dist Boards & Mun Commrs. ... on the subject. Mean Submit.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 2119—GPB—10[illegible]37-1

R.S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

L.B File No. 64 of 1924

Serial No.

On enquiry it is ascertained that the receipt of the Rules for keeping cattle sheds is being dealt with in the Land & Branch and that the file on the subject is said to have been submitted as a reference file in some other connection.

If approved, this file may be sent to that Branch for putting up the required file when received.

13-6-25 13825

13878

A 2839

A 5108

A E 668-24

24/8

27/8/25

29/8/25

2406

29/8

2413

9/9

Today

Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192 .

Serial No

(1) In this case, the Dist Bd of Bangalore have proposed a grant of Rs 1000/- to the Cattle show in connection with the Car festival of Sri Somaravaradeveru at Magadi.

(2) Under the existing rules contained in Govt. No. 7007-24/LD 189-05-3 dated 22/7/ there is no provision for the grant of a subvention without reference to the income & expenditure of the show. Rule 4 requires that the entire cost shall be met in the first instance from the Dist Bd funds & which subscriptions from private individuals & fees levied if any & contributions from the Taluk Bds shall be credited.

(3) In this case information is not given as to the total expenditure incurred on account of the Cattle show including Sanitary arrangements. It is only after this is known that a case can be passed as to the amount which the Dist Board can contribute. It will have to be called for pointing out the above circumstances unless it is considered that a grant or subvention may be made without any such information being called for.

(4) The Member has observed that these rules are defective. The

Serial No.

Lord Rankeillour (i) Rules are under consideration & until they are passed the existing rules have perhaps to be followed.

Lord Peel

2nd Member) 28 22/10/25.

365-9 23.10.

28 It is useless to wait for all replies. Please submit please a proposed rules side by side within a month)

DE 24/10

SD 24.10.

Mem dtd 27/10 Attended to 3/11/25

29 Refer the question of framing rules regulating Cattle Shows to Veterinary dealt with in the Agri Sec of the Dept. I have linked file AE 2187/25 This may be transferred to that Sec.

HK 24.11.25 L. Wales)

30.10.25

28 4/11/25

a/s. Sec. Sir. Do. 1 4/11 Seen the Sec 7/11

31. This question is one to be dealt with in the L.S.G. Branch.

The subject relates to the issue of rules for regulating Cattle shows and for levying fees on the heads of cattle which are brought to

Serial No

such fairs -

32 The main file regarding the proposed rules seems to have been dealt with in the Agricultural Secn in the beginning, as it originated on an Ec. Conf^ce subject in June 19 that "steps should be taken to organise Cattle Shows in the State, so that the spread of cattle epidemic may be controlled & the Said fairs better utilised to encourage breeding of better stock."

Page 1. (Flag X.)

33 The proposed legislation regarding the control of movement of diseased cattle in the state included a provision for the control of cattle fairs under certain conditions - That piece of legislation did not receive the assent of the R.A. and fell through - In view of this, there is nothing more to be done in the I & C Branch regarding the cattle shows. The proposed rules are flagged O. It will be seen therefrom and from the then I^st Member's minute on the margin of the Office note that the fees are to be levied, if at all, under the Local Boards Regl^n, the funds administered by the Dt Boards, & the Cattle shows organised by the Dt Boards - It may be mentioned that the existing Govt order on the subject of Cattle shows was issued from the Local Boards Section -

Flag R

Flag C

34 As such, I think that the question of the revision of rules (Cattle shows) may be dealt with in the L.S.G. Branch -

Submitted for perusal & orders -

MP 7/11/25

Supdt. Agriculture 7/11/25

Asst. Director of Agriculture 7/11/25

Secretary 7/11/25

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

36 As directed in para 28 a statement is perhaps showing the present & proposed rules side by side.

37 The rules framed to the last contain only veterinary arrangements. The Sanitary arrangements referred to in Rules 2 & 3 of the old rules seem to have been kept out of consideration. There have perhaps to be retained as also the stipulation in which is done in connection therewith in Govt. No. L 1558-67/L&S 114-20-10 dt the 28th August 1922. Para 2 of Rule 3 and Rule 4 of the old rules will have to be modified also in view of the provision in Rule 12 of the new rules. These have to be considered together.

38 Rule 5 of the old rules provides for a minimum of one anna on each head of Cattle. Several of the Dist Bds are agitating for the minimum to be annas four as is proposed in Rule 11 of the new rules.

39 The provisions of the old rules 6, 7 & 10 may perhaps also be incorporated into the new rules and the old rules 8 & 9 may be replaced by Rules 13 & 14 proposed which will have to be remembered.

40 The Rules for Cattle shows, award of prizes &c. proposed by the Bd's

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

4799
23.11.

may form an Appendix to the Rules, along with the minutes for standard arrangement referred to above.

2nd Member 28/11/25

4/ Please draft the Rules with modifications as proposed above on half margin & Note in margin objections only & flag the reasons & submit. Minimum need not be fixed. Make left to Asst Board.

Dy Secy
24/11/25

In Lockwood's post
28/11

4-2 Draft embodying the recommended rules as directed is submitted for approval.

Dy
12/12/25

43 7 copies manifolded

28
12/12

44 A typed copy of the draft is submitted for approval.

Dy
19/12/25

45 Rule 10 of the draft rules provides for the prohibition of cattle fairs or pens on account of the prevalence of infectious disease. These are further than the rules framed under Regulation VI of 1825. This point will have to be considered & if found necessary the Appendix will have to be amended suitably.

5189/21/12 46/ 2nd Member. This is rather an

28
21/12/25

The signatures should be obtained before the Rules are submitted to 2nd Member

21/12/25

Note—Contn. Sheet
21/12

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 47 B | of 192 .

Serial No

because inconclusive

Irresponsible remark. Please have the file & Ld Secy or ~~Head~~ have his opinion recorded whether Regn requires amendment, & if so a Govt amendment may first be prepared for next meeting of L.C.

DE
7/12/15

5 22/12

47)

Let the draft rules be scrutinized by Asst Secy in the first instance & the administrative line

DE
3/2

A Copy of the Regn VI of 1899 Rules issued thereunder are up-to-date

N & M.

48)

Sir O: Seshavarama will please note if the rules are ultra vires the present LB Regn & the new Bill.

DE
3/2

49)

Rules 1 to 3. These are not in consonance with Section 17A of the L.B Regn and clause 32 of the Dt Bd Bill. The management of cattle fairs or cattle shows has to form one of the functions of the Economic committee. Rule 3 is vague. The strength of the committee requires to be fixed more definitely.

with Secy's 34 & 40-(b)

Revised & Printed as Notes

50)

Rule 5 Reference to fairs may be omitted as the rules relate to cattle shows.

Notice for Committee

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

51)

Rule 9 The proposal to establish cattle pounds for impounding diseased cattle can not be sanctioned under the L.B. Regn or Dt Bd. Bill. The cattle Trespass Act also does not authorise this. Necessary rules will have to be made under Regn VI of 1899 and reference thereto made in the present rule. An alternative would be to accept the suggestion of the Hon'ble Dt Bd.

Reference to policemen etc is unnecessary to carry out the provision of the rule. Otherwise it may also be stated that the cost on account of such establishment shall be paid for from the collections unless Govt are prepared to bear it themselves

52)

Rule 10 This is after all an administrative matter which may be dealt with in a Govt. No such rule can be properly issued and magistrates or other officers will be in a fix if their orders are not respected

Serial No

It is not clear how any outside authority can have any jurisdiction in the matter unless it be under the Epidemic Diseases Regn.

Reference to fair in this rule may be omitted.

53) Rule 11 - Reference to fair may be omitted

54) Rules 12 & 13 The whole charge should be met from the Dt Bd. for the words "be met out of" in rule 12, the words "not ordinarily exceed the income from" may be substituted. Rules 12 and 13 may be clubbed together. The portion relating to contribution from temples may be omitted as it should form part of a standing order to be issued from the Municipal Dept of Govt

These are the same in the following rules 24 25

55) Rule 14 The rule is ultra vires. No portion of the fees can be taken away to form a general fund without the consent of the Dt Bds. The Bangalore Dt Bd

Serial No

want the contribution made to the fund to be spent in the Dt itself. No rule is necessary as regards the portion payable from or to the temples concerned. The question of formation of a general fund may perhaps be dropped. Even if a fund is form for administrative reasons, it is not expedient to earmark a portion of the income from shows for mai a grant for this purpose. Every the charges to be incurred in each District may be ordered be borne from the Dt fund or Dt Bds may be requested to m suitable grants for the purpose.

D
5/426

56) Rule 10 provides for preventing the holding of Cattle shows or fairs on account of prevalence of Cattle diseases. It is not clear under what provision of law this can be done.

57) Vide Regn VI of 1899 (M: N)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB —40,000—17-12-28

Serial No.

authorises Govt to frame rules ~~for~~ merely for destruction or segregation of Stray dogs and of horses and cattle suffering from diseases and for preventing the holding of fairs or shows. The rule framed under this Regulation cannot prevent healthy Cattle being taken to a fair or show.

~~Regn II of 1897 (Epidemic diseases~~

58) In Regn II of 1897, "Epidemic diseases" is not defined so as to confine it to human beings, though the intention of the intentions of the legislature seems to be so to confine it. As seen in Section 2 cl (3). Even if this Regn applies to cattle diseases, permanent regulations cannot be framed as under Sec 2 (ii), ~~only~~ temporary Regulations alone can be prescribed.

59) The ~~intended~~ rule (10) is intended to be permanent and so Regn II of 1897 ~~has~~ cannot be relied upon for this rule.

Lt Col)

23/2

As the formation of the Committee

60) Please bring it up for orders

24-2.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192 .

Serial No

6i) The question for consideration is the framing of rules for regulating cattle shows and award of prizes thereat in lieu of the rules of Feby. 1911. 20 rules in all have been proposed to be issued. The file does not indicate the authority under which these rules are proposed to be issued. The rules have obviously to be issued under clause (j) of Secn. 37 of the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation and some of the rules go beyond the scope of this Section and are unduly restrictive or otherwise unnecessary as shown in the following paragraphs.

62) Of the rules proposed, rules Nos.12-16 relate to finance. The rules are not clear as to whether the management will be under the control of the District Board directly or whether the responsibil of the Board is simply to make a grant under certa circumstances. If the scheme is under the control of the District Board, the agency to manage the show should be the committee appointed under Secn. 17 A) of the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation to deal with agriculture. In this view, rules 1 to 3 which relate to the constitution of a representative committee for organising and managing the show would be ultra-vires. If, however the position of the District Board is conceded to be only that of a person making a grant-in-aid for a public function, the rules Nos.12 to 14 will have to be replaced by a new set of rules defining clearly under what conditions grant-in-aid would be admisible. Even in this case also, rules 2 and 3 could not be justified and rule 1 will

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No

have to be modified so that the District Board shoul have only the power to approve the constitution of the Committee and not to appoint the members thereof

(63) In the rules of February 1911, it is clearly laid down that the management of cattle shows shall be left chiefly to a committee consisting of the leading non-officials and officials of the District or Taluk and preferably to the Agricultural Association or to a Co-operative Credit Society. From this it is clear that the management should be left to a -- private body to be approved by the District Board and that the position of the District Board is merely one of a person who may make a grant-in-aid for the function. No change in the policy heretofore adopted in this respect appears to be called for.

——In lieu of the rules 1 to 3, proposed, rule 1 of February 1911 may be adopted.

(64) Rules Nos.5 to 10 relate chiefly to the consultation by the Committee with the officers of the -- Civil Veterinary Department in arranging for the -- conduct of the show and conforming to the instructions issued by the Veterinary officer. The rules unduly restrict the powers of the committee and -- create an impression that the Veterinary Officers' voice shall prevail chiefly over the views of the members of the committee. For these rules, a simple rule may be substituted to the effect that the -- committee shall conform to the instructions issued by the Veterinary Officer from time to time as regards isolation of cattle suffering from disease or infected cattle and other cognate matters.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No

65) Rule 4 is not quite precise as to who should publish the notice in the Mysore Gazette and the neighbouring British District Gazettes. The management being vested in a private body, namely, a committee, the publication of the notice entails some cost unless Government are prepared to excuse the charges levied by the Superintendent of the Government Gazette for publishing such matters. The rule will have to be made clearer. It is doubtful -- whether after all this rule is really necessary. The matter may be safely left to the organising committee. ~~and the Deputy Commissioners and~~ The -- Superintendent of the Government Gazette may be -- instructed to publish free of charge a notice of the show, under the signature of the President when so requested by the Committee.

66) Rule 11 provides for a temporary veterinary pharmany and is not really necessary. The instruction to the Department to open temporary pharmacies will quite do in the matter.

67) Rule 14 is ultra-vires as it is the committee that ~~is to~~ dispose of the funds at its disposal. If the committee is in a position to make a grant for the cattle improvement fund it is not clear why the District Board should make a grant to the committee. If the District Boards agree to make a grant for such a purpose out of their funds ~~it is matter which~~ they may do so and the matter is one which is entirely ~~outside~~ outside the purview of these rules. The second part of rule 13 requiring temples under Muzrai management to contribute a portion of their -- income for expenses in connection with the holding

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

~~up~~ of ~~the~~ cattle shows is also ultra-vires and is not one that can form the subject of a rule in this connection. If the temple authorities or the -- Government have power to make such a contribution and ~~thejxaxe~~ they are willing to do so the matter is one that has to be disposed of separately. The contributions, if any, will partake of the nature of private subscriptions.

In place of rules 12, 13 and 14 the following rule may be substituted:-

" The District Board may make a grant not exceeding ~~equal to~~ the expenditure incurred by the committee in awarding prizes and making sanitary and other needful arrangements which is in excess of the amount realised from private subscriptions and fees on cattle admitted to the show. and other items."

68) Rules 15, 16 and 17 are unobjectionable and may remain as they are with a slight alteration in -- rule 16 that the previous sanction of the Board -- may be obtained for altering the rates and not of Government.

69) Rule 18 requires photos of cattle winning ~~the~~ prizes to be submitted to Government. This will involve extra cost to ~~Government~~ the Dt Bd and would be inconsistent with the spirit of Local Self Govt. If necessary, the District Board may make a rule to that effect.

70) Rule 19 requires the organisation of ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ ~~xxxx~~ demonstrations and lectures and rule 20 relates to sanitary arrangements to be made and for award of prizes, and these rules may be omitted as they are ~~out of place~~.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

Serial No

are one of public. The District Boards may out of their funds arrange for these matters and no rules in the -- matter are really needed in this connection. As regards award of prizes, the discretion of the committee should not be fettered by issue of any subsidiary rules. If necessary, the Veterinary Officers should take the opportunity for educating the judges on the spot if they happen to be ignorant of the conditions under which prizes should be awarded and other -- matters.

10156
27/5

12/27/5.

13 Approved by us

26/5

27.5.

14 The Finance Minister to whom this file was personally submitted was pleased today that the question connected with the amendment of Regulations 11 of 1925 with the view of prevention or prohibition of cattle from a ground of prevalence of disease among cattle in the place or vicinity may first be decided. The opinion of the Presidents of District Boards may be obtained as to power should be taken for the purpose and their recommendation submitted by

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

4722

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192 .

Serial No.

A prohibition shows on the ground mentioned above. A draft may therefore be prepared accordingly

WD 7/6.

Draft is submitted

Mem prepared & submitted

WD 7/6.

Draft as revised

WD 7/6.

Draft Notification submitted

WD 8/6.

Approved

10/6

10/6

Done

WD 11/6

51. Letter No. L113-20 dt. 7.7.26 to the Commr. of Dists.

calls for the opinions of the Presidents of DCs PBs as to whether an amendment Regn VI of 1919 so as provide for the prevention or prohibition of cattle shows on the occasion of epidemics among cattle in the locality where cattle show is held.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
5. AUG. 1926
LEGAL CELL GOVT. BRANCH

Pleasure

Shd draft

NOTE—Contn. Sheet 7 7.7.26

608
652

WD 01284—GPB—10,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

LB File No. 64 of 1924.

Serial No 52

From. The President, Dist. Bd. Mysore
Letter No. D2 dis 29/LB 26-27 dt 31.7.26
5.8.26

Submits the opinion of the Dist Bd in the matter of Amending Regulation VI of 1899 so as to provide for the prevention or prohibition of the holding of Cattle shows during the prevelance of epedimics among Cattle in the place or in the neighbour-hood stating that the Board as not in favour of any new provisions as suggested

From. The D.C & President, Dist. Bd.
Shimoga. No. 621/LB 26-27 dt 6.8.26

States that it is necessary to Amend the Regulation with a view to effectually stop the epedimic and the power may be exercised by the D.C. on the recommendation of the President of Dist. Board.

Submitted for kind perusal. Reminders have been issued to the Deputy Commissioners of other districts and their receipts will be awaited.

LB. 10.8.26.

YB 11/8

Above 2 Letters & Enclosures

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 64 of 1924

Serial No.

Framing of Rules relating to 'Cattle Fairs'.

Flag C.

2. This was one of the subjects that was discussed by the Standing Commee for Local Self Govt, at their meeting held on the 2nd October 1926.

3. Some of the important provisions in the draft rules, about the restrictions on the movement of diseased cattle, ~~or on the holding~~ of cattle fairs in infected localities, could not be enforced in the absence of a suitable amendment of Regulation No. VI of 1899. for the destruction & seggregation of stray dogs & diseased cattle It was brought to the notice of the Committee that rinderpest by itself accounted for the death of about 60,000 cattle during 24-25 & 25-26; and that in spite of heavy expenditure on Serum, virus production & special temporary staff for dealing with the epidemic, the situation has not ~~been~~ improved to any commendable extent. One of the main reasons for this partial Succes was the absence of a suitable provision of law to control the movement of diseased cattle, or of cattle from any such infected locality.

The Committee were, however, of opinion that even if the law was amended as proposed, for the present, rules might be framed only in respect of rinderpest.

4/10

It was finally decided to place before the next session of the R.A. a statement of the main principles of the proposed amendment of Regn VI of 1899.

5. Dft statement in Sub for appln.

First mr

+Chairman of the St. Commee for L.S.G. 11/10

11/10 2/A. 10.'26.

NOTE- Contn.Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 64 1979 of 1926

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
4 OCT. 1926
Reg. No 2555

1979

Serial No.

85
This may be included in R.A. Agenda if Dewan approves, in anticipation of H.H's Sanction.

DR
4/10/26

Dewan) WP 4/10/26.
thro' Secy R.A. & L.C.

RR
4/10 Sec. approved
Jm
4.10.26

A-S.
87 J & C. for immediate
RR
88 Please issue the 6/10.
app^d Statement to the WP
& forward memo to 7/10.
Secy R.A.

To the Secy R.A.
memo no 2558-87-10-26.
Forwards copy (with 2 spare copies) for being placed before the ensuing session of the R.A.
for formal
87 Sub-Committee issue.

WP 7/10/26.
7.10.26 Secy. D.)

GDS
14.10.26

NOTE Contn.Sheet

2543

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 64 of 192 6

Serial No.

90 This measure may be further walled up, with refce to the R.A. decision dated 29th January — WG 20/10

2543

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1 1926
REPRESENTATIVE ... LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

91 The R.A. section is requested to kindly state what decision was arrived at, at the recent R.A. session, in the matter of the proposed amendment of Regulation No VII of 1895

BS 30/10. VS

92 Please send extract

R.A. section 93 An Extract from the proceedings, relating to this subject, is placed in the file.

Sgnr. 1/11/26 (for Development Branch)

94 If approved the file will be sent to the L&L Secretariat for taking necessary further action for placing the proposed amendment before the Legislature Council

BS 2/11/26 VS (Dev. Secy)

WG 2/11/26

95 — WG 4/11/26

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

Serial No.

96 **Extract from the proceedings of the Standing Committee of the Representative Assembly and the Legislative Council for Local Self Government and the Departments of Medicine, Sanitation and Public Health, held at 8-30 A.M. on the 2nd October 1926.**

---

97 4. CATTLE FAIRS.- The Committee was of opinion that power should be taken to control the movement of the infected animals referred to in Section (1) of Regulation VI of 1899 and of the animals in contact with such infected animals. The following draft amendment was adopted:--

"After the word 'disease' occurring in line 4 of section 1, sub-section (1) of Regulation VI of 1899 and before the words 'in any part', add the following

"or in contact with such infected animals or animals coming from infected places"

98 It was also recommended that the amendment should be put before the ensuing session of the Representative Assembly with a statement of Objects and Reasons.

99 The Rules which are proposed to be issued should be framed only with reference to Rinderpest, as the mortality of cattle is heaviest in the case of this disease.

100 The consideration of the modification of Cattle Fair Rules, it was decided, might be taken up after the Regulation is amended.

3/13/27

Pr 8141

WD 01229—GPB—?

App. 7

General and Revenue Secretariat

45 File No. 64 of 1924

Serial No.

Ordered. When I submitted those extracts the General Secretary advised that further action might be taken on each item as decided in the Standing Committee. This subject relates to the Leg Branch where the main file is. This will therefore be sent to that branch for further necessary action if any—
Imam L.S.G.P with Chapter 52-48
11/4/25 2/4/25

1/4

1.4772
2.4.27

Mr 9/4/25

8

24

5287

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
1483
27 SEP 1927
LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

H7 File No. 64 of 1924.

Serial No.	
	103 This will be sent to the P.S.G for favouring their files (Entered)
	10/5/27 To the L.Sly Branch
	103 The Regulation II of (927 amending the Reg^n VI of 1899 having been issued, the file is resubmitted for the consideration of the draft Cattle Show Rules.
	14/11/27
	104 Please put up clean copy as revised
	18/7.
	105 A typed copy of the draft Rules is submitted,
	106 There was a file regarding the division of the realisation from cattle-sheds in Salem between the temples concerned. Please put up —
	25/9
	by Mayaraj 119-1954 20-24 is prepared.
	29/9/27
	Please type the rules as revised now

Has not the matter been before the R^a? Please bring up.
9/5

Mr. Mr. 9/11/27

35932
11.5.27

Mr. Mr. 9/11/27

Mr. Mr. 9/11/27

Mr. Mr. 9/11/

1125

General and Revenue Secretariat. 43 File No. 64 of 1926.

Serial No.

108A Typed revised draft Rule to Subdfraft

6/10/27

109 Please retype draft as revised + note suggn remaining for order below

MS 5/11

110 A typed revised draft Rules is submitted.

111 Against Rule 12 the following suggestions have been made for consideration:-

C. Bangalore District Board:- The cattle Improvement Funds so formed must be spent within the District in which it was collected on the - - improvement of the cattle in consultation with the District Board concerned under the supervision of the Agricultural Department.

B. 11 Hassan District Board:- 25 per cen of the fees levied upon cattle coming to the show may be given for the improvement of the fund.

6/11/27

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

1483 · 5 App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | L.B File No. 64 of 1924

Serial No.

112 Submitted with reference to orders in paras 85 and 86.

113 Regulation VI of 1899 regarding the destruction and segregation of diseased animals has been amended providing for regulating the movement of animals from areas infected with diseases. The draft rules previously put up have been revised so as to be simpler and more consise and are put up below. Rule 6 provides for preventing cattle coming from infected areas getting into show or fair grounds. These rules may now be approved. It seems however desirable before issuing them finally to send them to the Board of Agriculture of the Economic Conference for suggestions if any.

A

114 Two suggestions made by the Bangalore District Board and the Hassan District Board about the formation of the cattle improvement fund are noted in para No. 111 supra.

For Orders.

22/11

4206/24/11

First Member

115 agree to A: Perhaps Dir of Agri has also to be consulted in this matter. Please Submit to 3rd M

Dec 24/11

Third Member
thro Secy Dev Depts

NOTE—Contn. sheet

24/11

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. B File No. 64 of 1924

Serial No.

116 The rules require recasting. Some of them are not rules at all, but mere suggestions. I doubt whether it is necessary to issue rules at all, and whether it would not be more appropriate to issue a G.O. of instructions relating to (1) the appointment of a Committee including the Chief Vet. Officer of the locality and provision of funds (2) arrangements for picketing cattle (3) sanitary precautions, including segregation and treatment. (4) fees, etc, (a) rates (b) apportionment (c) accounts (5) report and powers it should touch.

117 I shall be glad to support the form of the G.O in collaboration with the H. Sec[y] if necessary; & we may then consult the Dir[r] of Agric.

118 I am not quite sure about the expediency of the Cattle Impt Fund.

3d Member and Ist Member

26-11-27

mw 27/11

119) I agree generally with Deputy Secy - the rules may be recast - as suggested.

mw 27/11 26/11/27 16/12

120) With Secy (Deputy) please speak to me.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

29/11

4984 17/12

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL 28 NOV. 1927 4956...

WD 0321 -GPB—60,0.0—24 5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

121) Mr Sitharamaiya Please bring up
MW
19/12

122) A Set of draft Rules relating under the Nellore District Boards' Regn is put up personally instructed by Hon'ble Sec. After the issue of these rules in this branch the Devt Branch file will be sent back to them for the issue of further executive orders in the matter.
16/12/28

123 These rules are necessary for the purposes of Sec 45(1) b. As to may point as regards other details as opined by Mr Ar Reg. Mr Draft is now submitted to the Hon'ble Sec for Consurrence or other orders

Ar Reg

20/12

124) Please see my pencil suggestions in the draft.

L.S.G. Secy

1 3 28

125) MW
1/3

GEN. SECRETARIAT
7105
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH
21/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

1483

General and Revenue Secretariat. LB File No. 62 of 1924

Serial No.

126 The draft rule as amended by the Advocate may perhaps pass

Joint Members
Joint Members
127) CSJ 7/3

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
2 MAR 1928
No. 8434

7334 7/3

128 Please quote Section or Rule in the preamble

dee 6/3/28

129) Issue a draft in the usual manner for the consideration of the Council

7/3

Rec'd 11/4

Issue. vide 5568-86 of 14/3/28. To Rev commr in myore, Presidents of DBds, Deps of Districts, D.G. of Police, Director of Agriculture in myore. Prescribes rules for regulating cattle shows and fairs.

130) Resubmitted as directed (Ref Para 129). The file may now be sent to the Secretary for taking further action on the lines suggested in para 116 supra (Ref Para 122

8 131) For order whether the above is necessary in view

11/4/28.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

14832

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

Of the pencil corrections in the draft by the Dersey.

K 11/4

13²) The file is now sent to Agl. Secretariat for some of the recommendations or the instructions if any.

Dersey

28/4/28.

D 8632

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
14 APR. 1928
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

prescribed in Govt. No 7007 Rev/LB 64-24-3 D 22/2/28.

1) Govt has been issued modifying rules for regulating Cattle Shows & Fairs ~~& Bazaars & Rules framed thereunder~~ (Para 1)

Govt No L 5528-84 LB 64-24-5-7 D 14/3/28

3) This for orders of the Dir of Agl has to be consulted (refe 117) since Govt has already been issued.

4) Submitted for orders with refee to Paras (118) and (132).

Jes 18/4/28

No further action is necessary. If any subsidiary instructions are necessary, the Dir of Agri will issue them, obtaining the Orders of Govt on all suitable matters.

Secy D)

WF 24/4

I agree

25/4

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

26/4/28

WF 26/4

NOTE—Contd sheet.

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

8632

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. LB File No. 64 of 1924

Serial No.

The connected file of this Branch Adj 218-20 has been detached & recorded. This file may be sent to L&G Branch to be recorded

JS
14/5/25 L.S.G.Br)

for orders whether it is desirable to record this file in as

JS
14/5

not necessary

21/
16/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—21-5-27

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD,
Bangalore 7th February 1925

No C389 ಮು-ಸ ಕ್ಲಾ 1

FROM

B. ABDUR RAHMON ESQ, B.A.,
PRESIDENT. DISTRICT BOARD,
BANGALORE.

To

P.NAGAPPA Esq. Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
P a n g a l o r e.

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that Mr.Karnick Krishnamurty Rao, a District Board Member put in an application dated 24-10-1924, to this office praying that a sum of Rs200/- might kindly be granted out of District Board Funds for expenses for holding a Cattle Show during the Car Festival of Sri Someswaraswamy at Nagadi which takes place from 30th Janary to 10th February 1925.

2. The President Nagadi Taluk Board in his report No.96 dated 1-12-24 recommended that a suitable amount may be sanctioned for this purpose.

3. The matter was placed befpre the District Board meeting held on the 24th and 25th January 1925 when, the Board sanctioned Rs100/- for the expenses of holding the Cattle Show with a remark that a report on the Cattle Show held, be submitted for the information of the Board, vide extract from the Proceedings of the meeting submitted.

4. The amount required will be met out of the Closing balance. I therefore request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for payment of Rs100/- towards the expenses for holding the Cattle Show as resolved by the District Board.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
B 11 '99

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B.A.Rahmon
President.
District Board.

CENTRAL SECRETARIAT
5987
17. FEB. 1925
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

From THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD.

To
The Secretary to Govt.
Local & Legislative Depts
Bangalore

Lb 64 - 24 - 12 (34 54)

Dated 10-2-25

Despatched

Received

General No C 389/24-25
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Requesting sanction for payment of Rs 100/- for holding the Cattle Show at Shimoga during the Car festival of Sree-Someswara Swamy at Mayakonda

Forwarded with Compliments

CENTRAL SECRETARIAT
15 FEB. 1925
G. D. SECTION

Sd/- Mir Sajad Hussain
Deputy Commr
Bangalore Dist

M/s 13-2-25

Sd/- 14/2

Srlbs. The amount of Rs 100/- is proposed to be met out of the closing balance. Draft sanctioning grant of funds

Sd/- 20/2

Sd/- 21/2

Proceedings of the Meeting of the Bangalore District Board, held on the 24th and 25th January 1925.

---

x x x

Read application of Mr.Karnick Krishna Murthi Rao, praying that a sum Rs.300 may kindly be granted for holding a cattle show during the Car festival of Sree Someswaraswamy at Magadi.

Resolution:- Sanctioned Rs.100 and a report to be submitted for the information of the board.

Sd/B.Abdur Rahmon,
President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

"EXTRACT"

B.A.Rahmon

President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

App.F.VI-G1.]

SUBJECT

Section C.B

File No. 64-24

Diary No of letter replied to 5287K

Seria. No. 17

No. L7064
Succl

General and Revenue Secretariat
(L. S. G)
, the 192

To The President, District Board of Bangalore.
Thro' the Dy Comr, Bangalore

Date of issue 4.4.1925

Sir,

Signed by mr. J. X. S. Dyer

With ref to your letter No. C 387/24-25 G dtd 7/8 Feb 1925 in the matter of the District Board Contribution of Rs 100/- for the expenses of holding the Cattle Show during the Car festival of Sri Someswaraswamy at Nagasandra, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information on the following points:-

1) Whether the entire cost the Show would amount

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

2) Whether the cost will not be financed by private subscription, if any and fee levied on cattle admitted to the Show and what fee are levied on the latter

3) In case the fees are levied and are credited to the funds of the temple, whether ½ of the expense cost to be met by the temple, have been recovered and if not, why this should not be done.

4) Whether the amount now asked for will be required, if the provisions of the rules in the matter are complied with. (Note for PW 7007-M/Leb 185-05-3 dt 22/7/11)

I have to

Yours

Sd/- L. Sy

3.25

03273 1924-25

47247
4810

20 JUL 1925

From

THE PRESIDENT, LOCAL SELF GOVT. ...
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD.

To

B 64-24-41
The Secretary to Govt,
Revenue dept,

Dated
Despatched
Received

GENERAL & REVENUE BR.
18 JUL 1925
G. D. SECTION.

General No. C 389 / 11-25
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Submitting a copy of letter from the President of the Board regarding the expenses of the Car Festival of Sree Someswara Swamy

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
1530
JUL 11 1925
BANGALORE DIST.

Forwarded with compliments

Bangalore
14/7.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD,

No

Bangalore....8th.....July.....1925.

FROM

B. ABDUR RAHMON ESQ, B.A.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
BANGALORE.

TO

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative Departments, BANGALORE.

(THROUGH THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, BANGALORE DISTRICT.)

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L7064/L.B.64-24-17, dated 4th April 1925 regarding the expenses of holding the cattle show during the Car festival of Sree Someswara Swamy temple at Magadi, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of report No.181 dated 25th April 1925 received from the Amildar, Magadi, containi the information as called for in the above.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

B. Rahmon

President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

Report No.181 from the Amildar, Magadi Taluk:-

1. The entire cost of the show cannot be given by the undersigned as the show is being managed by Mr. Karnik Krishnamurthy Rao, member of the Renovation Committee and nothing is known till now about the receipt and expenditure inspite of the reference No.622 dated 4th March 1925 sent to him.

2. The fee that is being levied on the cattle is at the rate of 0-2-0 per head and 0-1-0 per head of young stock. The right to collect fees on cattle is being sold by auction and the amount thus recovered during the last three years is shown in the margin. At present no subscription is being raised and the cost of the show is not being financed from the fees collected on the competing cattle.

1921-22	No income.
1922-23	225-0-0
1923-24	275-0-0
1924-25	170-0-0

3. The Jatra income of the temple for 1924-25 is as follows:-

Amount of sale of the right to collect fees on cattle.	Rs.170/-
" " shops.	Rs. 41/-
Amount recovered by sale of manure.	Rs. 23/-
	Rs.234/-

(The income from the lands is not shown here.)

The whole amount has been credited to Muzrai funds to the credit of the temple, and the renovation Committee headed by Mr. Karnick Krishnamurthy Rao have proposed to utilize this income, the land income and the loan of Rs.300/- per year granted to this temple out of Sri Ranganatha Swamy temple funds vide (G.O. No.221-3 Muz.531-18-25 dated 18th July 1922) towards the cost of maintaining the temple establishment and carrying on worship and the whole record has been submitted to the Sub Division Officer proposing the scale for the temple with this office report No. dated.

The cattle show is being sanctioned and conducted out of the funds of the District Board. As regards the cattle show, no proposal was made from the Taluk office and no correspondence exists between the Committee and the Taluk Board except a petition, and the District Board, and the amount is being paid by the District Board direct to Mr. Karnick Krishnamurthy Rao on behalf of the Committee and the accounts are sent direct to the President District Board. The undersigned or the Taluk Board had no hand in the show. Half the

excess -

excess cost viz., Rs.50/- has not been adjusted from the Jatra income and as there is an amount of Rs.1565-0-1 at the credit of the institution as per office accounts, the meeting of half the cost viz. Rs.50/- this year would not embarrass the affairs of the temple.

4. As this is a jatra yet in its infant stage no subscriptions appear to have been raised and no fees were collected from competing cattle in conformity with Government Order No.7007-24/ L.F.189-09-3, dated 22nd February 1911 so that it has become necessary to sanction the cost of the show.

Sd/- K. Ananthapadmanabhayya

AMILDAR.
25-4-25.

@@@@@@@@

"TRUE COPY"

B.A Rahman

President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

B.G.

the to keep such animal apart or re-
move it to such place as may be approved
of him, but there is no provision therein for
preventing or prohibiting Cattle Shows on the
grounds specified above. Segregation of
diseased Cattle may have some effect in
stopping the prevalence of the disease, but
it seems to me that effectually to stop the
epidemic or arrest it to spread in order to
prevent heavy mortality, ~~such would be~~ it
may be sometimes necessary to stop the
Shows altogether and the existence of such
a ~~not~~ provision in the Regs would therefore
be very useful.

I am therefore to request you to be
so good as to obtain and submit the
opinion of the Presidents of the respective Dis-
trict Boards as to whether an amendment
of the Regs so as to provide for the same
may not be taken up and if so, whether
power to prevent or prohibit the Cattle Shows
may be reserved to Govt or to the Dist Comrs
on the recommendation of the Presidents.
A very early reply is requested.

I have te

L & L-Sy

APP xv VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section L.B

File No. 64-24

Serial No. 57

Diary No. of letter replied to 4721

No. L 113—20.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(L-S.G)

the *192*

To

The Dy Comrs of Delhi.

Date of issue 9/4/26

Signed by M. J. K. S

Sir,

I am directed to state that in connection with several cattle Shows held in this State, the necessity of prohibiting such Shows on the ground of prevalence of epizootics among cattle in the place or in the neighbourhood was urged before the Govt. under Section Regn VI of 1899 and the Rules published thereunder with Govt Notn No. 6991/Legis 61 S. the 18th Dec 1899 as amended by Notn No. J-3016/Legis 58-114-G of the 5th Feb 1918, the Dy Comr has got power to require the owner of [illegible]

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

over

175

To.

6-5-55
ep

Office of the President, District Board,
Mysore District,
Hotel, Mysore, the 31st July 1926.

From

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
President, District Board of Mysore,
Mysore.





To

D. Devappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Cir. 6/8

L.B.64-24-52

With reference to your letter No.L.113-20/L.B.64-24-51 dated the 9th July 26 inviting opinion of the President, District Board, in the matter of amending Regulation No.VI of 1899 in order to provide for the prohibition of the holding of Cattle Shows during the prevalence of epidemics among cattle in the place or in the neighbourhood, I have the honour to state that the matter was placed before the District Board at their meeting held on the 17th July 26 when it was resolved that the "District Board are not in favour of any new provision as suggested". I agree in the view of the District Board.

An extract from the proceedings of the Board is enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President,
District Board of Mysore.

BSS.

66555

From

The President,
District Board of Cyprus,
Cyprus.

To

The Secretary to the Government of H. M. the Queen of Cyprus,
Local and Legislative Department,
Nicosia.

Dated 31st. July 1926.

Despatched 5th August. 26.

Received

Central No. 82. Dis. 29 Leg 26-27.

Enclosures No. One

Subject.

Submitting opinion of the District Board in the matter of Amending Regulations no 82 of 1899 in order to provide for the prohibition of the holding of Cattle Shows during the prevalence of Epidemics among cattle in the place or neighbourhood.

Proceedings of the District Board of Mysore passed at their Meeting held at 1 p.m. on Saturday the 17th July 26.

A. EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS.

1 The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District (President)
2 The Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, Mysore.
3 The District Forest Officer, Mysore District, Mysore.
4 The Assistant Commissioner, Mysore Sub Division.
5 The District Medical Officer, Mysore District.
6 The District Inspector of Schools, Mysore District
7 The Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Mysore Division.

B. ELECTED MEMBERS.

I. Elected by Taluk Boards.

8 Mr. T. S. Subba Rao, Landholder, Tippur, Yedatore Taluk.
9 ,, Boregowda, Landholder, Saligrama, Yedatore Taluk.
10 ,, G. Mallanna, Patel & Landholder, Nagavala, Mysore Taluk.
11 ,, R. Devaiya, Shanbhogue, Harohalli, Mysore Taluk.
12 ,, Mir Raza Alli Sab, Merchant, Periapatna, Hunsur Taluk.
13 ,, Chowdegowda, Patel, Chikka Hunsur, Hunsur Taluk.
14 ,, M. Subba Rao, Contractor, Magge, Heggadadevankote Taluk.
15 ,, E. Krishnappa, Landholder, Nanjangud.
16 ,, P. Papanna, Merchant, Nanjangud.
17 ,, V. K. Nanjundaiya, Gundlupet
18 ,, Doraswamiengar, Landholder, Chamarajanagar.
19 ,, Chowda Setty, Landholder, Chamarajanagar.
20 ,, G. Venkataramana Setty, Merchant. T. Narasipur.
21 ,, P. R. Lakshmi naranappa, Landholder, Mugur.
22 ,, Venkatappa alias Chikkanna, Merchant, Malavalli.
23 ,, Chikka Subbaiya, Jamindar, Halagur, Malavalli.
24 ,, G. Devojee Rao, Pleader, Mandya.
25 ,, K. Papaiya Chetty, Landholder, Mandya. [Tk.
26 ,, A. Narasimhachar, Landholder, Nayasinganahalli, Krishnarajapet
27 ,, Ayachita Narayan Dikshit, Inamdar, Gudehosahalli, ,, ,,
28 Vacant.
29 ,, Siddalinga devaru, Landholder, Seringapatam.
30 ,, Gundappa, Landholder, Devalapura, Nagamangala Taluk.
31 ,, Mahamad Gows Sab, Landholder, Nagamangala Taluk.

II. Elected by Municipal Councils.

32 Mr. H. C. Dasappa, B.A., LL.B, Mysore City Municipal Council.
33 ,, H. V. Hanumantha Setty, Hunsur Municipal Council.
34 ,, Kundi Hutchaiya, Malavalli Municipal Council.
35 ,, K. Venkatasamiyer, B.A., B.L., Nanjangud Municipal Council.
36 ,, Dyavaiya, Landholder, Seringapatam Municipal Council.
37 ,, Ummar Sab, Chamarajanagar Municipal Council.

III. Elected by Recognised Associations.

38 Mr. B. K. Ramakrishnaiya, M.A, LL.B, Bar Association, Mysore.
39 ,, Lakshmipathaiya, Jaina Education Fund Association.

IV. Elected by Inamdars.

40 Mr. M. K. Krishna Rao, Inamdar, Pleader, Mysore.

C. NOMINATED MEMBERS OTHER THAN EX-OFFICIO.

41 Mr. C. Narasimhaiah, B.A., B.L., Advocate, Mysore.
42 ,, S Krishnaswamy Rao, B.A, LL.B., Advocate, Mysore.
43 ,, Captain V. L. Wynyard Wright, Manager, Hunsur Works.
44 ,, Reverend Father D'Silva, Catholic Priest, Doranahalli.
45 ,, M. C. Linge Gowda, Landholder, Maddur, Mandya Taluk.
46 ,, Mahamad Sait, Merchant, Dodpet, Mysore.
47 ,, Devojee Rao, Landholder, Yedatore.
48 ,, Biramkhan Sab, Landholder, Kirgaval, Malvalli Taluk.

All were present except nos. 3. 16. 24. 29 39. & 2 and 68.
Vacant no. 28.

xxxx xxxx xxxx xxx

10. Letter No.L.113-20/L.B.64-24 dated 9-7-26 from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, inviting opinion on the following points.

(1) Amendment of Regulation VI of 1899 in order to provide for the prohibition of the holding of cattle shows during the prevalence of epidemics among cattle in the place or in the neighbourhood.

(2) Whether power to prevent or prohibit cattle shows be ~~xxxxxxx~~ reserved to Government or to Deputy Commissioner on the recommendation of the President.

Resolution:- The District Board are not in favour of any new provision as suggested.

xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx

" E X T R A C T "

For President, Dist. Board.

No. G 212 B 26-27

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD.
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Shimoga, Dated the 6th August *192* 6.





From

A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner &
President, District Board,
Shimoga District. LB 64-24-

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L. 113-2C/L.B. 64-24-51 dated the 9th July 1926 about the desirability of prohibiting the holding of Cattle Shows on the ground of prevalence of epidemics among cattle in or about the place, I have the honour to state that as suggested, with a view to effectually stop the epidemic it appears necessary to amend the Regulation VI of 1899 making a provision therein for preventing cattle shows on the ground of prevalence of the epilemics. I am of opinion that the power to prevent or prohibit the Cattle Fairs may be exercised by the Deputy Commissioners on the recommendation of the Presidents of the District Boards.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner & President,
District Board, Shimoga.

175 09860

No. LB 13 / 26-27

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board.

Dated, Chickmagalur 19th August 1926

From

G. B. Heblikar, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT. 871 24 AUG. 1926 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

GEN. & REV. SECT. PR. L To 21 AUG. 1926 G. D. SECTION

B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-law.,

LB 64-24-53

Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 113-20/L.B. 64-24-51 dated 9-7-1926 calling for my opinion in regard to the amendment of the Regulation VI of 1899 so as to provide prevention or prohibition of cattle shows on the prevelence of epidemics among cattle in the locality when cattle show is held, I have the honour to state that it would be desirable to take up the amendment of the Regulation so as to provide for the prevention as well as the prohibition of cattle shows on the prevelence of epidemics and power to prevent or prohibit such shows be vested in the Deputy Commissioners on the recommendation of the Presidents of District Boards.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G B Heblikar

~~For~~ President,

Kadur District Board.

N.R.

No. L.113-20/L.B. 64-24-51

OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,

From, DATED 26th August 1926

GEN. & REV. SECT. Read 3 SEP. 1926 To

GENERAL & REVENUE SECTT. 1113 - 6. SEP 1926 LOCAL SELF GOVT.

N. S. NANJUNDIAH, ESQ.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
HASSAN.

To

B. NAGAPPA, ESQ., Bar-at-Law,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
LOCAL & LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENTS, BANGALORE.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMR. 31 AUG. 1926 SL 99 HASSAN

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

LB 64-24-51

Sir,

Forwarded with compliments.
Dy. Commr.

With reference to your letter No.L.113-20/L.B. 64-24-51, dated 9th July 1926, I have the honor to state that an enabling provision of the kind arming the Government with the power to prevent or prohibit the cattle shows is very desirable. It is often possible that persons trading in cattle and other influential persons interested in other kinds of trades, troupes or Liquor shops or other organisations would be obstinate and rather less farsighted and unable to take a detached view of the situation and the horrible consequences, and would prevail upon the leading members or some times indifferent members of the local bodies, and persist in having the shows held irrespective of the shows consequences to the bulk of cattle in - general. In these circumstances a supervising agency like the Government ought to be able to exercise proper control and take timely action.

Even the Deputy Commissioners as local authorities will not be able to exercise independent judgment. Either the local bodies and the Deputy Commissioner enter into a personal conflict with each other and the matter then takes a personal turn on sentimental grounds or personal grounds or the matter at times

receives a scant attention at their hands. The power may therefore be safely retained in the hands of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

President,
District Board, Hassan.

In all future correspondence please quote this number.
A2-C.1154/26-27.
Encs.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT,

Dated Kolar, the 10th September *1926.*

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
12352
15. SEP. 1926
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
To
Pr. 1
14. SEP. 1926
G. D. SECTION.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-At-Law.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Department of Local & Legislative Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L. 113-20/L.B. 64-24-51 dated 9-7-26 calling for the opinion of the Presidents of District Boards - in regarding the amendment of the Regulation VI of 1899 with regard - to the prevention or prohibition of cattle shows on the prevalence - of epidemics among cattle etc., I have the honour to forward herewith copy of the Kolar District Board President's letter No.D2.Dis.14/26-27 dated 1-9-26 furnishing his opinion in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

A.R.
10/9.

Copy of letter No. D2.Dis. 14/26-27 dated 31st August 1926, from th President, District Board, Kolar, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar - District.

With reference to your office Memo No.A.2.C.1154 of 26-27 dated the 24th instant calling for my opinion in the matter of amending Regulatic VI of 1899, I have the honour to state that the Regulation may be so - amended as to provide prevention or prohibition of cattle shows on th prevalence of epidemics among cattle and that the proposed power may vested in the Government as is in the case of prohibition of attendan of persons from infected areas.

2. The Government may be empowered to prohibit cattle shows on the recommendation of the Presidents of District Boards.

" C O P Y "

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

A.R.
10/9.

13951

In all future correspondence please quote
No. Cus 143(3)/26-27

Deputy Commissioner's
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
Chitaldrug, dated 13th Sep *192*7.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
1306L
18. SEP.
LOCAL SELF GOVT. DEPARTMENT

ENCLOSURES

FROM

S. VENKATARANGAM, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Dy. Commissioner &PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
PR. 2 To
18. SEP. 1926
G. D. SECTION.

To
[illegible], ESQ., BAR-AT-LAW.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
LOCAL AND LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT,
BANGALORE.

Sir, Memo No 1847

With reference to your letter No. L. 112-29/L.S. 24-24-27 dated 6th July 1926 re: the amendment of the Regulation VI of 1892 so as to provide for prevention or prohibition of cattle shows on account of prevalence of epidemics among cattle, I have the honor to state that the amendment suggested is desirable and the power to prevent or prohibit cattle shows may be reserved to the Deputy Commissioner on the recommendation of the Presidents of the local bodies concerned

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S Venkatarangam
Deputy Commissioner &
President, District Board,
Chitaldrug District.

1/10/26

5568-86/LB64-24-59

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Read again:-

Government Order No.7007-24/L.B.189-09-3 dated, the 22nd February 1911, prescribing Rules for regulating cattle shows and fairs.

ORDER NO.L. 5568—86
Dated, Bangalore, the 14th March 1928.

In modification of the Rules issued under the Government Order of the 22nd February 1911 read above, Government are pleased to prescribe the following revised Rules for regulating cattle shows ~~& fairs:-~~

and in view of powers vested in them under Section 100 (2) of the Mysore District Boards Regulation, 1926

Rules.

~~MANAGEMENT.~~

Rule 1. All shows should be organised by an Economic Committee appointed by the District Board consisting of people interested or actually engaged in cattle-breeding,

Rule. 2. Three months prior to every show, a short notice of such show shall be published in English and Kannada in the Mysore Gazette by the ~~Officer in charge of the show.~~ Chairman of the Show Committee.

and an officer of a non-official gentleman shall be appointed and presided over by an official or non-official gentleman who shall be considered responsible for all arrangements connected with the show.

Rule ~~xx~~ 3. ~~All xx cattle xx shall xx if xx possible be fair xx being xx taken xx to xx the xx show xx fair xx ground xx be xx first xx examined xx by xx a xx Veterinary xx Officer xx~~

Rule 3. The Deputy Commissioner of the District or ~~Divisional Veterinary Inspector~~ will be competent to prohibit the holding of a show ~~or fair~~ on account of the prevalence of cattle diseases in the locality or to require the closing of a show ~~or fair~~ when cattle diseases appear on the show ~~or fair~~ grounds.

Rule 4. ~~The cost of prizes to be awarded and of arranging the show and making sanitary arrangements~~ shall be met out of District Fund which private subscriptions, if any and fees levied on cattle admitted to the show ~~should~~ shall be credited.

All the expenses connected with the show including the cost of prizes, and of sanitary & other arrangements -

Rule 5. (1) The following shall be the maximum rates of fees levied on cattle admitted to cattle shows:-

(1) Eight annas for every pair of big bullocks.

(2) Four annas for every pair of small bullocks.

(3) Four annas for a single bull or cow or other cattle competing for - - prizes.

(2) Subject to the maximum prescribed in Sub Rule (1) above, the rates of fees to be levied shall be left to the decision of the Committee, which shall previously be submitted for information

Rule 6. Within one month after the holding of the show a full report of the show shall be ~~submitted to Government~~ forwarded to District Board and Deputy Commissioner of the District.

(1) The D.B. may levy fees on cattle brought to the show subject to the following maximum rates:-

(Five rates)

(2) The fees fixed under the above clause shall be published a week prior to the date of the show, and displayed in prominent places on the show grounds

The D.B. leave the rate to the discretion of the D.B. itself subject to the maximum limit mentioned above

and the ~~collection~~ at the towns where fees are collected.

Note for instructions

DRAFT)

Genl: Secry to Govt.

11/2/26

P.T.O.

To

The Rev: Commr of Mysore.
The Pdts. of Dt: Bds.
The Dy. Commrs. of Dists.
The I.G. of Police in Mysore.
The Dr. of Agri. in Mysore.

K.M.R. 1.
16/2.

S. O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. L. 5568-86—L. B. 64-24-59, dated 14th March 1928.

Cattle Shows.

Prescribes revised rules for regulating — and fairs to be held by District Boards.

READ AGAIN—

Government Order No. 7007-24—L. B. 189-09-3, dated the 22nd February 1911, prescribing Rules for regulating cattle shows and fairs.

ORDER No. L. 5568-86—L. B. 64-24-59, DATED 14TH MARCH 1928.

In modification of the Rules issued under the Government Order of the 22nd February 1911 read above, and in virtue of power vested in them under Section 100 (2) of the Mysore District Boards Regulation 1926, Government are pleased to prescribe the following revised Rules for regulating cattle shows:—

RULES.

1. All shows should be organised by an Economic Committee appointed by the District Board consisting of people interested or actually engaged in cattle breeding and presided over by an official or non-official gentleman who shall be considered responsible for all arrangements connected with the show.

2. Three months prior to every show a short notice of such show shall be published in English and Kannada in the *Mysore Gazette* by the Chairman of the Show Committee.

3. The Deputy Commissioner of the District will be competent to prohibit the holding of a show on account of the prevalence of cattle diseases in the locality or to require the closing of a show when cattle diseases appear on the show grounds.

4. All the expenses connected with the show including the cost of prizes, and of sanitary and other arrangements shall be met out of District Funds to which private subscriptions, if any and fees levied on cattle admitted to the show shall be credited.

5. (1) The District Board may levy fees on cattle brought to the show subject to the following maximum rates: —

(1) Eight annas for every pair of big bullocks.

(2) Four annas for every pair of small bullocks.

(3) Four annas for a single bull or cow or other cattle competing for prizes.

(2) The fees fixed under the above clause should be published a week prior to the date of the show, and notified in the prominent places on the show grounds and at the Ookads where fees are collected.

6. Within one month after the holding of the show, a full report of the show shall be forwarded to the District Board and the Deputy Commissioner of the District.

R. RANGA RAO,
General Secretary to Government.

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Presidents of District Boards.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.
The Director of Agriculture in Mysore.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

WD 2561—GPB—490—28-3-28